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Abstract

This study examined the acute effects of NaHCO₃ ingestion on repetitions to failure and rating of perceived exertion (RPE) in the back squat and bench press in trained males. Eight resistance trained males took part in this double-blind, randomized cross-over experimental study whereby they ingested NaHCO₃ (0.3g·kg⁻¹ body mass⁻¹) or placebo (sodium chloride NaCl: 0.045g·kg body mass⁻¹) solution 60mins before completing a bout of resistance exercise (3 sets of bench press and back squat exercise to failure at an intensity of 80% 1 repetition maximum). Experimental conditions were separated by at least 48hours. Participants completed more repetitions to failure in the back squat following NaHCO₃ ingestion ($p = .04$) but not for bench press ($p = .679$). Mean ± SD of total repetitions was 31.3 ± 15.3 and 24.6 ± 16.2 for back squat and 28.7 ± 12.2 and 26.7 ± 10.2 for bench press in NaHCO₃ and placebo conditions respectively. Repetitions to failure decreased as set increased for the back squat and bench press (both, $p = .001$). RPE significantly increased with set for the back squat and bench press (both, $p = .002$). There was no significant change in blood lactate across time or between conditions. There were however treatment X time interactions for blood pH ($p = .014$) and blood HCO₃ concentration ($p = .001$). Following ingestion blood pH and HCO₃ concentration were greater for the NaHCO₃ condition compared to the placebo condition ($p < .001$). The results of this study suggest that sodium bicarbonate ingestion can enhance resistance exercise performance using a repetition to failure protocol in the first exercise in a resistance exercise session.

Keywords: Ergogenic aid; Alkalosis; pH; Buffering; Resistance exercise to failure; Nutrition
Introduction

Short-term, high-intensity exercise results in increased blood lactate concentration and an associated increase in hydrogen ions (H\(^+\)) (2, 6). The increased H\(^+\) concentration causes a drop in both muscle and blood pH (25), slower glycolysis (26), changes in calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum and subsequent calcium ion binding (15), increased perception of fatigue (44) and ultimately poorer exercise performance (36, 44). However, the metabolic alkalosis induced by ingestion of sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO\(_3\)) has been shown to delay acidosis and allow greater lactate flux from muscle to blood during high intensity (28) and prolonged exercise (26) leading to improved exercise performance (27, 41). Ingestion of NaHCO\(_3\) has also enhanced performance in shorter duration exercise ranging from 3 X 30 second bouts to 4 X 3 minute bouts (36, 44). Despite this, there is conflicting research suggesting NaHCO\(_3\) may not be effective in enhancing sports performance (5, 23).

Recent meta-analytical data (24) indicated that NaHCO\(_3\) was ergogenic in 38% of the studies examined regardless of performance measure, duration of task and training status of participants. The meta-analysis also noted that research using time to exhaustion or total work protocols resulted in greater effect sizes compared to studies using performance time or total power protocols concluding that NaHCO\(_3\) is ergogenic for short term, high-intensity exercise. It is also important to note that although the effect sizes were smaller for protocols involving performance times (e.g., time trials), arguably they offer greater ecological validity in terms of sports performance compared to time to exhaustion protocols. Moreover, at the elite standard of performance although NaHCO\(_3\) ingestion may result in only a small effect, such small margins may be practically important (24).
The majority of previous studies examining the efficacy of NaHCO$_3$ on exercise performance have examined individual sprint performance, repeated short-duration sprints or field based activities (26, 27, 36). Few studies have examined the effects of sodium bicarbonate ingestion on resistance exercise performance (21). Arguably, the interval like nature of high-intensity resistance exercise is similar to interval/intermittent protocols used in prior studies of the effect of NaHCO$_3$ on performance in a range of exercise modes (e.g. cycling (44), running (28) and swimming (35)). Moreover, studies that have specifically examined the effect of NaHCO$_3$ on resistance exercise performance are inconsistent in terms of exercise protocol used, timing and administration of NaHCO$_3$ and the population used (20, 21, 23, 42). These studies did not report key physiological variables such as blood lactate concentration and blood pH (21), thus limiting the conclusions that can be drawn from the data and eliciting the suggestion of further research being required in this area (21, 42).

Webster et al (42) examined the effect of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion on leg press performance in trained males ($4 \times 12$ repetitions at 70% of 1 repetition maximum (1RM)). A 5$^{th}$ set of exercise was undertaken to failure. Although blood lactate concentration increased during exercise, the number of repetitions completed in the final set was not significantly different in NaHCO$_3$ or placebo conditions. Webster et al (42) concluded that NaHCO$_3$ was not ergogenic for resistance exercise. However, the authors suggested that the leg press protocol may not have been of sufficient intensity to realize any ergogenic benefit from NaHCO$_3$ ingestion with future research potentially benefiting from a repetition to failure protocol. Conversely, work by Coombes and McNaughton (7) reported increased total work done and peak torque during isokinetic leg dynamometry following NaHCO$_3$ ingestion in healthy
males. In addition, Marsit et al (20) reported an increase in performance to failure during multiple sets of leg press exercises at 67.5% of 1RM. More recent research examined the effect of ingesting NaHCO₃ on 10 repetition maximum (10 RM) performance in both bench press and pull press (21). No improvement in 10 RM performance was observed following NaHCO₃ ingestion, concluding that sodium bicarbonate ingestion was not ergogenic for resistance exercise performance. Materko et al., (21) also suggested their study was limited due to too great a time between NaHCO₃ administration and exercise performance and the lack of any measures of blood lactate and pH to determine the magnitude of any alkalosis achieved. Despite this, many of these studies are methodologically limited. Such studies have largely employed protocols requiring a set number of repetitions at a given intensity and also employed exercise intensities within a ‘moderate’ range e.g., 60-70%1RM (20, 21, 42). In the context of using nutritional manipulation to enhance performance, examining the efficacy of acute ingestion of potentially ergogenic substances at exercise intensities more indicative of those used by athletes undertaking conditioning for sports performance would seem prudent to determine efficacy of a substance across the range of exercise intensities employed in strength and conditioning program design. The data which have currently been reported in relation to NaHCO₃ ingestion on resistance exercise performance are inadequate to fully conclude as to the impact ingestion of NaHCO₃ might have on performance and thus provide practical recommendations for coaches and athletes.

In addition to a lack of training recommendations, more strenuous protocols representing those undertaken by athletes in competition and training are required to determine the efficacy of NaHCO₃ ingestion on resistance exercise performance. For
example, exercise intensities of 80% 1RM or greater are typically used to elicit strength and hypertrophy gains (12, 43) and training to failure at such intensities has been suggested as an effective means by which to increase muscular hypertrophy (43). The use of repetitions to failure, as recommended by Webster et al. (42), is common with resistance training (8) and can lead to increased motor unit recruitment and subsequent greater gains in strength and hypertrophy when compared to other training methods (8, 39). Repetition to failure protocols represent high-intensity exercise likely lead to increased blood and muscle acidosis (38). Therefore, NaHCO₃ ingestion might impact favorably upon such an exercise protocol enabling performers to complete greater volumes of work and thus increase the physiological loading in a given session. Given the lack of prior studies examining the effect of NaHCO₃ ingestion on resistance exercise performance, the mechanism by which NaHCO₃ is purported to work and the metabolic, cardiovascular and muscular demands of resistance exercise at 80% 1RM or greater (38) and of training to failure (43) it is perhaps surprising that no studies have yet addressed this gap in the literature. This is despite the fact that any potential ergogenic benefit of NaHCO₃ may be more likely to be seen with high intensity, interval type exercise (24) such as is the case with resistance exercise to failure at loads of 80%1RM or greater. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the effect of sodium bicarbonate ingestion on resistance exercise to failure at 80% 1RM. This study hypothesized that NaHCO₃ ingestion would result in improved resistance exercise to failure in trained males.

**Methods**

*Experimental Approach to the Problem*
This study employed a within-subjects, repeated measures, double-blind design. Subjects were informed that they were participating in a study examining resistance exercise performance and that as part of the experiment, they would be asked to perform one repetition maximum (1RM) tests for back squat and bench press exercises and two subsequent performance testing sessions, one following ingestion of NaHCO$_3$ and the other following ingestion of a control solution. In the latter two trials participants would be required to perform three sets of the aforementioned exercises to failure at an intensity of 80% 1RM. This intensity of 80% 1RM was chosen as it has been recommended for trained individuals in the development of hypertrophy and maintenance of muscle mass (11) and is therefore typical of the intensity of resistance exercise undertaken by individuals involved in regular strength and conditioning training. All testing took place within the institution’s human performance laboratory.

Subjects

Following institutional ethics approval and providing informed consent, 8 males (mean age, height and body mass ± S.D. = 20 ± 0.9 years, 1.8 ± .1m and 78.4 ± 15.6kg respectively) volunteered to participate. All participants had specific experience performing resistance exercise and were free of any musculoskeletal pain or disorders. All participants competed in team games (rugby union, soccer, basketball) at National level and testing took place during the preparatory period of their periodized training cycle. They were currently participating in > 10 hours week$^{-1}$.
of programmed physical activity including strength and endurance based activities. All the participants were specifically resistance trained, currently undertaking 3 hours of resistance exercise per week in addition to their other conditioning activities (e.g., metabolic conditioning, skills based training). All the participants had been engaged in this amount of resistance exercise for at least 10 weeks prior to participating in the study as part of their preparatory training cycle. The mean ± S.D. duration of undertaking programmed strength and conditioning (including resistance exercise) experience was 2.8 ± 0.9 years. All participants were asked to refrain from vigorous exercise and maintain normal dietary patterns in the 48 hours prior to each testing session.

Procedures

Each participant attended the laboratory on three separate occasions. All testing took place between 9.00am and 12.00 noon with each condition taking place at the same time of day for each participant to avoid circadian variation (1). The first visit to the laboratory involved a briefing session and determination of each participant’s one repetition maximum (1RM) on the back squat and bench press. All participants had experience performing general resistance exercises and back squat and bench press exercises in particular. However, prior to commencing the 1RM testing, proper lifting technique was demonstrated to each participant. Each participant also performed 8-10 unweighted repetitions to minimise any learning effects that could occur in the experimental protocol. The 1RM was determined
according to methods advocated by Kraemer, et al. (17) and was used to set the 80% 1RM intensity undertaken during the subsequent experimental trials. The mean ± S.D. of 1RM for back squat and bench press was 131.4 ± 30.9kg and 75.3 ± 30.1kg, respectively, representing an appropriate standard for such a group of athletes (3, 16).

During each experimental condition participants undertook a 5 minute submaximal warm-up on a cycle ergometer and 10 repetitions of each resistance exercise using an unweighted bar. Participants then completed 3 sets of back squat and bench press exercise to failure at 80% 1RM with a 3 minute rest between sets and a 5 minute rest between back squat and bench press exercise in every session. Conditions, separated by 48-72 hours, were randomised and consisted of a sodium bicarbonate condition (0.3g kg⁻¹ NaHCO₃ in 5 ml kg⁻¹ of artificially sweetened water) and a control condition (sodium chloride solution NaCl: 0.045g kg⁻¹ in an artificially sweetened water drink matched for taste). Solutions were refrigerated overnight and consumed 60 min before each exercise trial within five minutes (29). The specific dose and timing of administration of test solutions was chosen based on that recommended in the literature (16, 22) and because 0.3g kg⁻¹ NaHCO₃ has been shown to be ergogenic whilst reducing any gastrointestinal discomfort (18, 22, 37). Although less intense loading regimes have been used more recently the loading regime was consistent with the majority of exercise performance studies in the literature.

Test solutions were presented to participants double blind in an opaque sports bottle to prevent the researchers administering the solutions or the participants from actually seeing the solutions themselves. Prior to exercise testing, body height (m) and mass (kg) were assessed using a Seca stadiometer and weighing scales,
respectively (Seca Instruments, Germany). Participants were also required to follow the same diet in the 24 hours preceding each exercise trial (based on 24 hour diet and exercise recall) and were required to avoid vigorous physical exercise in the 48 hours preceding each laboratory visit. In addition, participants were instructed to ingest nothing but water in the 3 hours before each trial. Adherence to these requirements was verified using a brief questionnaire administered prior to each trial.

**Lifting Procedures**

All exercises were performed using a 20kg Eleiko bar, Pullum Power Sports lifting cage and Olympic lifting platform (Pullum Power Sports, Luton, UK). All lifts were completed in accordance with protocols previously described, by Earle and Baechle, for the bench press and back squat (10). A trained researcher/spotter was present during all testing sessions to ensure proper range of motion. Any lift that deviated from proper technique was not counted. This resulted in 7 repetitions not being counted across the study. There was no visible pattern for repetitions that were not counted being restricted to either one particular participant or one exercise. In the majority of cases (6 out of the 7) uncounted repetitions occurred in the repetition prior to task failure in the last set of either the back squat or bench press exercise. During all exercises and across conditions, repetition frequency was paced by a metronome set at 60 beats min⁻¹. This cadence resulted in one complete repetition every 4 s with concentric and eccentric phases both comprising of 2 s. Feedback related to lifting procedures or the number of repetitions completed was not made available to participants until completion of the whole experimental procedure. In all cases and across conditions, participants performed the back squat exercise
followed by bench press. Proper range of motion was determined a priori (during the 1RM session) following recognised guidelines for the back squat and bench press (10). In subsequent experimental trials purpose made markers (placed on the outside beam of the lifting cage) were used to indicate to the trained researchers when each movement had reached its upper or lower range of movement. This also provided kinaesthetic feedback to the participant as to when the movement had been executed correctly.

**Performance Measures**

During each set and across conditions, repetitions to failure were counted using a hand tally counter (Tamaco Ltd, Japan). Immediately after each participant had reached failure in each set they were asked to provide ratings of perceived exertion using the Borg 6-20 RPE scale (4) for the active muscle groups involved in each exercise. Participants were all familiar with the use of the Borg scale for determination of RPE and memory anchoring was employed to ensure stable reporting of RPE across the experimental protocol in line with prior recommendations (4).

Fingertip capillary blood samples were taken pre ingestion, 60 min post ingestion (prior to exercise), on completion of the back squat exercise and immediately prior to and the post bench press exercise. After collection, blood samples were put on ice until analysis at the end of the exercise protocol. A 100 µL sample was analyzed for blood pH and blood bicarbonate concentration ([HCO₃⁻]) (ABL5 Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). A further 80 µL sample was analyzed in triplicate for blood lactate concentration ([Bla]; Biosen C Line Analyzer, EKF Diagnostic GmbH, Magdenberg, Germany).
Statistical Analysis

The effect of NaHCO₃ on resistance exercise performance and RPE were analyzed using a 2 (Trial; NaHCO₃ vs. control) X 3 (set 1 – 3) repeated measures analysis of variance for back squat and bench press exercise, respectively. Data for blood pH and Bla and blood HCO₃ concentrations were analyzed using a 2 (Treatment; NaHCO₃ vs. control) X 5 (time; pre ingestion, post ingestion, post back squat, pre bench press, post bench press) repeated measures analysis of variance. Where any significant interactions and main effects were found post hoc analysis using Bonferroni adjustments were performed. Partial $\eta^2$ was used as a measure of effect size. A $p$ value of .05 was set to establish statistical significance. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Ill) Version 18.0 was used for all analyses.

Results

The mean ± S.D. for repetitions to failure for back squat and bench press for NaHCO₃ and control conditions are shown in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2, respectively. No significant trial × set interactions were evident for either exercise. The back squat demonstrated significant main effects for treatment (F 1,7 = 5.997, $p = .04$, Partial $\eta^2 = .461$) with NaHCO₃ eliciting a greater number of reps. A main effect was also observed for set (F 2, 14 = 10.988, $p = .001$, partial $\eta^2 = .611$). Bonferroni post-hoc analysis indicated a decrease in repetitions to failure across the 3 sets for back squat with the number of repetitions being significantly lower in set 3.
compared to set 1 ($p = .025$, Mean Diff = -1.4, Figure 1). For bench press exercise, results indicated no significant main effect for repetitions to failure ($p = .428$). Total repetitions completed across the 3 sets of back squat and bench press exercise in NaHCO$_3$ and control conditions were also plotted (See Figure 3) to determine responder/non-responder status. In the back squat 7 of the 8 participants performed a greater number of total repetitions following ingestion of NaHCO$_3$ compared to control with only 4 participants performing a greater number of total repetitions following ingestion of NaHCO$_3$ compared to control in the bench press exercise.

There were no trial × set interactions for RPE in either exercise (See Figure 2.). However, main effects were observed for set in both back squat ($F_{2, 14} = 9.976$, $p = .002$, partial $\eta^2 = .588$) and bench press exercises ($F_{2, 14} = 9.841$, $p = .002$, partial $\eta^2 = .584$). A significant increase in RPE was observed across sets for back squat with RPE being greater in set 3 compared to set 1 (Figure 2). For bench press RPE was significantly greater in both set 2 and 3 compared to set 1 ($p <.002$).

Blood lactate concentration at rest and throughout the exercise protocol for both trials are presented in Table 2. Results demonstrated a significant main effect for time ($F_{4, 28} = 29.3$, $p = .001$, Partial $\eta^2 = .807$) but no main effect for trial ($p =0.958$). Post hoc analysis indicated that Bla concentration post back squat exercise was significantly greater than all Bla concentrations pre exercise ($p = .001$). Following back squat exercise the Bla concentration remained elevated pre bench press exercise and post bench press. (all $p = .002$). Mean ± S.D.

Blood pH and demonstrated a significant trial X set interaction ($F_{4, 28} = 3.77$, $p = .014$, partial $\eta^2 = .350$, Table 2) as did blood bicarbonate concentration ($F_{4,28} = 6.047$, $p = .001$ partial $\eta^2 = .463$, Table 2). Both blood pH and bicarbonate concentration values were similar pre ingestion becoming greater during the
NaHCO$_3$ condition at all time points following ingestion compared to the control condition (all $p = 0.001$, See Table 2). However, there were no differences in blood pH or bicarbonate concentration between sets for either trial (i.e. post back squat vs. pre bench, pre bench vs. post bench, post back squat vs. post bench; $P>0.05$).

**Discussion**

Prior research examining the effects of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion on resistance exercise has been equivocal potentially due to protocols utilizing only moderate intensity exercise (20, 21, 42) with suggestions that higher intensity protocols to task failure should be examined (42). Furthermore, using an exercise to failure protocol has been cited as one means by which to augment strength gains seen with traditional strength training designs (43) and may therefore be more ecologically valid. Consequently, if NaHCO$_3$ ingestion enhances performance in this mode of exercise it may allow additional physiological loading and therefore greater adaptation to resistance exercise. The results of the present study indicate an enhanced ability to perform repetitions to failure in the back squat at 80% 1RM following ingestion of NaHCO$_3$. However, this augmentation was not evident for bench press exercise to failure performed five minutes following the back squat exercise. Although the results of the present support previous observations that NaHCO$_3$ ingestion can enhance high intensity resistance exercise performance (7) they are contrary to other research reporting no ergogenic effect of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion on resistance exercise performance (21, 42). The discrepancy between the findings presented in the present study and that of previous authors is not unexpected. Both the aforementioned studies suggested that the protocols employed in their research may
not have been of a high enough intensity to realize any ergogenic effect of NaHCO$_3$ on resistance exercise performance. The repetitions to failure, at 80% 1RM, protocol employed in the present study resulted in high blood lactate values reflecting the intensity of the exercise protocol employed. The blood lactate values reported here are also within the ranges seen in other research using a repetitions to failure protocol (9).

The ergogenic effect of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion in the present study was only observed for the back squat exercise. Interestingly, there were a similar number of reps to failure in set one of the bench press exercise as there were for set one of the back squat and similar fatigue profiles in bench press as for the control trial of the back squat exercise. Previous studies of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion on cycling performance have observed reductions in local fatigue (RPE) following ingestion of NaHCO$_3$ (13, 40). If a similar effect is present for resistance training exercise this may help to explain the improvements in reps to failure in the second and third sets of back squat exercise observed in the present study. As such high intensity resistance exercise is likely to have a greater effect on local muscle RPE rather than total body RPE. In the present study, as participants were asked to rate local RPE they may likely have reported similar values due to focusing more upon sensation form the exercising muscle when reporting RPE rather than the total body.

The present study demonstrated no differences in RPE between NaHCO$_3$ or control conditions across the whole experimental protocol. These results contradict previous research (13, 40) simply suggest that there was no difference in local perception of fatigue between the two trials. Data reported previously examining the effects of NaHCO$_3$ ingestion on perceptual responses to exercise are equivocal with some studies suggesting that the relationship between acid-base balance and RPE
during exercise may involve negative effects of accumulation of intracellular H\(^+\) on force generating capabilities of muscle as the muscle fatigues (32). The results of the present study are partly in agreement with conclusions made by Requena et al (31) in their review that ingestion of NaHCO\(_3\) does not impact on RPE responses during exercise. However, although the absolute RPE responses were similar during the back squat protocol for NaHCO\(_3\) and control trials, a greater number of repetitions were achieved in the NaHCO\(_3\) treatment.

There were no differences in Bla concentration between trials, which is unusual for such studies as ingestion of NaHCO\(_3\) usually elicits greater blood lactate concentration post exercise. This result may strengthen the contribution of attenuated local RPE in improving back squat performance. However, the lack of statistical significance may be due to the large standard deviations and thus large inter-individual variation present in an otherwise homogenous population. Furthermore, although blood pH did reduce during exercise, values were not as low as reported for cycle ergometry (13) or treadmill running (28). Blood HCO\(_3\) concentrations were also similar following both sets of exercise indicating that the demand on the base reserve was not great.

Three of the participants reported gastrointestinal distress before commencing the exercise protocol. Of these participants, one demonstrated poorer performance and one enhanced performance in the NaHCO\(_3\) condition compared to the control and the other demonstrated no difference in performance between conditions. Such gastrointestinal issues are not uncommon in studies of NaHCO\(_3\) ingestion (27, 28) and no discernable pattern of the effect of such distress was evident on the performance of participants in the present study. A similar response was observed recently for studies of cycle ergometry where responding or not
responding positively to NaHCO₃ ingestion prior to exercise to exhaustion was not consistent across (high) exercise intensities (13). Moreover, in the case of responders/nonresponders (See Figure 3) there was no discernable pattern of response status to NaHCO₃ ingestion with the participants ‘responding’ to NaHCO₃ ingestion in the back squat exercise being different to those responding to NaHCO₃ ingestion in the bench press.

Although positive results were observed for the back squat this study is not however without its limitations. A sample of trained subjects was employed in the current study and, given the equivocal nature of studies on the impact of NaHCO₃ ingestion on resistance exercise performance, further research is needed examining the impact of NaHCO₃ ingestion on resistance exercise performance in a larger sample of participants and also considering training status. In the current study, participants had prior experience of resistance exercise and had been engaged in 3 hours per week structured resistance exercise for the 10 weeks prior to taking part as part of their periodized training within the preparatory phase of their conditioning program following their transition/close-season period. In particular, a recent review (24) has suggested that effect sizes for studies on the effect of NaHCO₃ on exercise performance are greater for untrained performers potentially because untrained participants are more reliant on the extra buffering potential during exercise afforded when NaHCO₃ is ingested. Furthermore, in the present study a repetitions to failure protocol was chosen based on recommendations of prior authors. Training to failure has been used in other studies (8) but may not be representative of the range of resistance exercise training undertaken by athletes. It is also worthy to note that the repetitions that were not counted in the present study due to improper technique will also have contributed to the metabolic and RPE responses within the protocol.
Therefore, examination of other variables (e.g., change in EMG activity or force production) during high intensity resistance exercise and different resistance exercise protocols such as plyometric training and resistance exercise employing a predetermined number of sets and repetitions at a predetermined intensity may be of interest to coaches and practitioners. Examining the effect of NaHCO₃ ingestion on performance of exercises involving different muscle masses may be useful in informing nutritional practice for athletes. Undertaking a training intervention utilizing NaHCO₃ ingestion would also be important in establishing whether NaHCO₃ ingestion can augment the changes in muscle strength and performance seen with training alone. Finally, in the protocol employed in the present study participants performed the back squat exercise followed by the bench press exercise with exercise order not being randomized. We acknowledge that the data presented here may in fact represent a testing order effect in that the first exercise performed was the one found to be significantly influenced by NaHCO₃ ingestion. Future studies need to ensure that exercise order as well as trial order is randomized, or examined separately, to avoid such potential order effects.

**Practical Applications**

The results of this study suggest that sodium bicarbonate ingestion can enhance resistance exercise performance in the back squat when using a repetitions to failure protocol and when this is the first exercise in a resistance exercise session. Thus, coaches and practitioners might effectively use sodium bicarbonate ingestion to increase physiological loading placed on the athlete when training to failure with a large muscle mass. Furthermore, the presence of gastrointestinal distress in some
performers, although uncomfortable for the athlete, does not appear to offset the potential performance benefits of NaHCO₃ ingestion. More specifically, Coaches and athletes could employ a bolus of $0.3\text{g} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \text{NaHCO}_3$ in $5 \text{ml per kg body mass} \text{ of artificially sweetened water to acutely enhance performance of resistance exercise to failure. Such acute ingestion might therefore enable higher physiological loading when employing strenuous resistance exercise protocol. However, such ergogenic effects may be short lived due to cumulative fatigue effects of training to failure negating any potential ergogenic effect of NaHCO₃ during resistance exercise.
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**Figure Legends**

Figure 1. Mean ± S.D. of back squat and bench press repetitions to failure at 80% of 1 repetition maximum per set in the presence of NaHCO₃ or control

Figure 2. Mean ± S.D. of RPE during back squat and bench press repetitions to failure at 80% of 1 repetition maximum per set in the presence of NaHCO₃ or control

Figure 3. Responder/Non-Responder plots for total repetitions completed in; a) back squat and b) bench press in NaHCO₃ and control conditions.